1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 MATTHEW REED, 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No. C12-1430-RAJ-JPD 10 v. ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS TO STRIKE AND FOR EXTENSION OF BRIAN FENSKE, et al., 11 TIME Defendants. 12 13 14 This is a civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter comes 15 before the Court at the present time on defendants' motions to strike plaintiff's response to defendants' summary judgment motions, plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file his 16 17 response to defendants' summary judgment motions, and plaintiff's request for sanctions. The Court, having reviewed the pending motions and the balance of the record, hereby finds and 18 ORDERS as follows: 19 20 (1) Defendants' motions to strike as untimely plaintiff's response to their summary 21 judgment motions (Dkts. 61 and 63) are DENIED. Defendants' alternative request for an 22 extension of time to file reply briefs in support of their pending summary judgment motions is 23 ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS TO STRIKE AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 1

GRANTED. While plaintiff's response was, in fact, untimely, granting defendants' additional time to file their reply briefs ameliorates any prejudice. Defendants' reply briefs were received by the Court on January 16, 2014 and have been made a part of the record.

- (2) Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file his response to defendants' pending summary judgment motions (Dkt. 70) is GRANTED. Plaintiff's late filed response was received by the Court on January 9, 2014 and has been made a part of the record.
- (3) The briefing on defendants' pending motions for summary judgment is now complete and the motions are deemed ripe for consideration as of January 17, 2014. The Clerk is directed to RE-NOTE defendants' summary judgment motions (Dkts. 49 and 56) on the Court's calendar for consideration on that date. A ruling on those motions will be forthcoming.
- (4) Plaintiff's request for sanctions (*see* Dkt. 69) is DENIED. Plaintiff's request for sanctions does not comport with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c)(2). Moreover, plaintiff's allegations of fraud and perjury on the part of defendant Fenske's counsel are frivolous and do not warrant further discussion.
- (5) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones.

DATED this 6th day of February, 2014.

TAMES P. DONOHUE

United States Magistrate Judge

amer P. Donobue

ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS TO STRIKE AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 2